Sand's ' Consuelo,' And who can deny the evidence of keen political insight, lofty ideas, and pure morality in writings of Mary Wollstonecraft, Frances Wright, George Eliot ?"1 People still read " Consuelo," as read "Les Trois Mousquetaires." They also read Con-Les templations " and even dip into "Le G-dnie du Christianisme." They ostracise none of the great

writers because there was error in their lives. Besides, it must acknowledged as true that a counsel of perf ection, or what we regard as perfection from OUT social standpoint, may well come the imperfect. In fact it could not be otherwise. since we are all imperfect in one or another way.

Thus to reject Zola's "books and his teaching the on ground that there came a lapse in his life after fifty of strenuous endeavour would be ridiculous, it entail the rejection of hundreds of others. The subject be dismissed, then, without further comment from the point of view. Undoubtedly it will always be a source regret to Zola's friends that this happened, though satisfied the great craving of Ms life. In spite of all knowledge of human imperfection we always picture to an ideal being, and we sorrow when the flaw in ideal discovered, even though reason tells us that ought have been prepared for it.

That the occurrences referred to caused great perturbation in Zola's life goes without saying; and as, about

this time or soon afterwards, some change appeared in his writings, a certain co-relation "between that change and his domestic troubles might he suspected. But beyond what is apparent in parts of \*' Le Docteur Pascal," and much later in "F6con-

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  " Westminster Review," January, 1891, "Patriotism and Chastity/'p. 2.